Updates in IOL Technology

Zaina Al-Mohtaseb, MD Whitsett Vision Group Cataract, Refractive, & Cornea Surgeon Director of Research Clinical Associate Professor, Baylor College of Medicine SEC 2024

Financial Disclosure

- I have the following financial interests or relationships to disclose:
 - Alcon
 - Zeiss
 - Bausch + Lomb
 - Johnson & Johnson
 - Allergan
 - Visus
 - Vista
 - Ocular TherapeutixTarsus
 - Dompe
 - Kala
 - BVI
 - Trefoil
 - CorneaGen
 - Ocuphire

Introduction

- Increasing expectations
- Cataract surgery now a refractive procedure
- Accuracy, repeatability, and consistency



Expectations

I choose ONE of the following vision correction options for this eye: (RIGHT) LEFT

1. Basic cataract surgery with a single-vision lens for best:

- _____ Distance vision (e.g., driving, watching TV)
 - Intermediate vision (e.g., computer (dashboard)
- X Near vision (e.g., reading a book)

I realize that I may need to wear glasses or contact lenses even after surgery to get best vision at my preferred choice (listed above) and will certainly require glasses (or contact lenses) to see at the other two distances.

 *Astigmatism correction with either incisions in the cornea or a special lens implant ("toric" lens) and additional procedures (as indicated) to aim for best vision without glasses for:

- Distance vision (e.g., driving, watching TV)
- Intermediate vision (e.g., computer, dashboard)
- Near vision (e.g., reading a book)

My goal is to have good vision without glasses at my preferred choice (listed above). I understand that I may still require glasses or contact lenses to get my absolute best vision at my preferred distance. In addition, I understand that I will still require glasses or contact lenses to see at other distances.

Avoid Refractive Errors

- Accurate keratometry & biometry
- Optimize IOL calculations
- Rule out ocular pathology
 - Cornea
 - Retina
- Set appropriate expectations & educate



Clinical Ophthalmology

Dovepress

open Access Full Text Article

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Causes and correction of dissatisfaction after implantation of presbyopia-correcting intraocular lenses

> This article was published in the following Dove Press journ: Clinical Ophthalmology 11 October 2016 Number of times this article has been viewed

Allister Gibbons Tayyeba K Ali Daniel P Waren

Kendall E Donaldson Department of Ophthalmology, Bascom Palmer Eye Institute, University of Miami Miller School of Medicine, Miami, FL, USA Purpose: The purpose of this study was to assess the causes and possible solutions for patient dissatisfaction after the implantation of presbyopia-correcting intraocular lenses (IOLs). Methods: This study was a retrospective review of clinical records. All patients who were seen between January 2009 and December 2013 whose primary reason for consultation was dissatisfaction with visual performance after presbyopia-correcting IOL implantation were included in the study. A single treating physician, who determined the most probable cause of dissatisfaction, decided which interventions to pursue following the initial consultation. **Results:** Data from 74 eyes of 49 patients were analyzed. The most common cause for complaint

Results: Data from 74 eyes of 49 patients were analyzed. The most common cause for complaint was blurry or forgy vision both for distance and near (68%). Complaints were most frequently attributed to residual refractive error (57%) and dry eye (35%). The most common interventions pursued were treatment of refractive error with glasses or contact lenses (46%) and treatment for dry eye (24%). Corneal laser vision correction was done in 8% of eyes; 7% required an IOL exchange. After the interventions, 45% of patients had completed resolution of symptoms, 23% of patients were partially satisfied with the results, and 32% remained completely dissatisfied with the final results.

Conclusion: The most identifiable causes of dissatisfaction after presbyopia-correcting IOL implantation are residual refractive error and dry eye. Most patients can be managed with conservative treatment, though a significant number of patients remained unsatisfied despite multiple measures.

Keywords: intraocular lens, cataract, presbyopia, multifocal intraocular lens

Testing

- Refraction
- Topography
- Biometry
- OCT macula

Measurements of cornea before manipulation or drops applied

Testing: Refraction

- Make sure vision
 commiserate with cataract
- Outcomes of first eye
- Evaluate axis of astigmatism
- Ensure IOL power makes sense
- Posterior corneal astigmatism





Testing: Topography



Importance of Topography

- To rule out corneal pathology
 - Ocular surface disease
 - Salzmann's
 - EBMD
 - Fuchs





Why Does it Matter?

77% had corneal staining;
63% had decreased TBUT

• Many of these patients were asymptomatic

• Can impact topography and biometry

• Can negatively affect surgical outcomes

 Decrease goblet cell density, TBUT, & corneal sensitivity



Screen for Retinal Abnormalities

Trattler WB, et al. Clinical Study Report: Cataract and Dry Eye: prospective health assessment of cataract patients ocular surface study. 2010.

- ERM
- Macular degeneration
- Drusen
- Results in decreased contrast sensitivity compounded with multifocals
- Can initially evaluate with IOL Master 700
- Importance of OCT macula for premium expectations





Lens Technology

- Multifocal IOLs
 - Alcon Clareon Panoptix
 - J&J Odyssey
- Light Adjustable Lens for Post-Refractive
- Aphthera Pinhole Optic for Irregular Corneas





<section-header><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item>

Patient Case

• 65 y/o male

- CC: Decreased distance vision in the recent year with more difficulty seeing street signs and watching TV, which has been gradually progressing over the past 3-4 years. He sees ghosting of images and is bothered by glare from lights.
- MRx: OD: 3.75 + 1.25 x 176 OS: 4.50 + 0.75 x 130
- Ocular/Medical/Surgical History:
 - Myopia OU
- · Patient's desired visual outcomes:
 - Prefers no glasses after surgery; has never worn glasses for reading.
 - Want to able to drive comfortably at night and will tolerate slight imperfections (halos, or rings around lights)

Exam Data

| | OD | OS |
|---|---|---|
| WEARING: | · 3.75 + 1.25 x 176 ccVA: 20/70 | · 4.50 + 0.75 x 130 ccVA: 20/30 |
| MRX: | · 3.75 + 1.25 x 170 ccVA: 20/40+2 | · 2.50 + 0.75 x 005 ccVA: 20/30 NI |
| PUPILS: | PERRLA, Bright: 3.5 mm, Dim: 4.0 mm | PERRLA, Bright: 3.5 mm, Dim: 4.0 mm |
| IOP: | 13 | 13 |
| SLE: L/C/S: Cornea: A/C: Iris: Lens: | conjunctivochalasis, meibomian gland dysfunction clear and compact cornea normal depth and quiet iris flat 2+ milky NS | conjunctivochalasis, meibomian gland dysfunction clear and compact cornea normal depth and quiet iris flat 2+ milky NS |
| DFE: Optic Nerve: Vitreous: Retina: | no disc edema or pallor, C/D 0.3 posterior vitreous detachment normal macula and retina, no holes or tears | no disc edema or pallor, C/D 0.3 posterior vitreous detachment normal macula and retina, no holes or tears |





IOL Master 700

| O | jD ight | | | | | | | Bi | ometr | ic valu | les | 5 | | | | | | | | C |)S left |
|------------|---------------|-------|---------------|------|--------|-------------|--------|--------|----------|-----------|--------|-------|------|-----|------|------|------|------|---------|-------|------------|
| | | | | | | | | | Eye | status | - | | | | | _ | _ | _ | | | |
| LS: F | Phakic | | | ١ | /s: Vi | treou | s boo | ły | | LS: | Ρ | haki | 2 | | | \ | /S: | Vitr | eous | bod | v |
| Ref: | | | | | | | VA: | | | Ref: | | - | | | | | | | | VA: | |
| LVC: U | Intreat | ed | | | | | | | | LVC: | U | ntrea | ated | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | Biomet | ic values | | | | | | | | | | | |
| AL: 2 | 3.61 n | ۱m | | | SD: | 4 µ | m | | | AL: | 2 | 3.57 | mm | | | | SD | | 9 µn | 1 | |
| CCT: | 575 µ | m | | | SD: | 3μ | m | | | CCT: | | 584 | μm | | | | SD | | 3 µn | n | |
| ACD: | 2.82 n | ım | | | SD: | 8 µ | m | | | ACD: | - | 2.78 | mm | | | | SD | | 5 µn | n | |
| LT: | 5.11 n | 1m | DT. | | SD: | <u>11 µ</u> | m | | | LT: | ; | 5.19 | mm | 00 | Ŧ | _ | SD: | 100 | 9 µn | 1 | 17 |
| 23.61 | mm | 57 | 5 um | | 2.81 | mm | | 5 1 | mm | 23 5 | E F | nm | | 584 | Lum | | 2 | 79 | mm | | 5 19 mr |
| 23.61 | mm | 57 | 4 um | | 2.81 | mm | | 5.13 | 2 mm | 23.5 | ă, | nm | I | 584 | um | | 5 | 79 | mm | | 5 19 mr |
| 23.61 | mm | 57 | 6 um | | 2.81 | mm | | 5.12 | 2 mm | 23.5 | 7 r | nm | | 583 | um | | 2 | 78 | mm | | 5.19 mn |
| 23.61 (| mm | 57 | 9 µm | | 2.82 | mm ! | | 5.11 | 1 mm | 23.5 | 7 r | nm | I | 585 | i µm | | 2. | 79 | mm | | 5.18 mn |
| ~~~~~ | | ~~ | • • • • • • • | | ~ ~ ~ | | 1 | | Corne | il values | - | | 1 | | | | ^ | | | | |
| SE: 4 | 3.95 D |) | | | SD: 0 | .02 D | | | | SE: | 4 | 3.91 | D | | | | SD | 0.0 |)2 D | | |
| K1: 4 | 3.55 D |) @ | 72° | | SD: 0 | 05 D | | | | K1: | 4 | 3.54 | D | 0 | 116° | | SD | 0.0 | 03 D | | |
| K2: 4 | 14.35 D | 0 | 162 | | SD: 0 | .02 D | | | | K2: | 4 | 4.29 | D | @ | 26° | | SD: | 0.0 |)2 D | | |
| ∆K: + | 0.80 L |) @ | 162 | · | | | | | | ΔK: | + | 0.75 | D | @ | 26 | | | | | | |
| SE: 4 | 13.93 D |) | ΔK | : +0 | .87 D | @ | 160 | | | SE: | 4 | 3.90 | D | | ΔK | +0. | .77 | D | @ | 24° | |
| SE: 4 | 13.95 L |) | ΔK | : +0 | .81 D | @ | 162 | | | SE: | 4 | 3.92 | D | | ΔK: | +0. | .72 | D | @ | 27° | |
| SE: 4 | +3.90 L | , | Δĸ | : +0 | 120 | <u></u> | 165 | | | SE | 4 | 3.93 | 0 | | | +0. | .70 | 0 | <u></u> | 21 | |
| TSE: 4 | 13.80 L | , | 70 | | SD: U | 03 D | | | | TSE: | 4 | 3.87 | D D | ~ | 1000 | | SD: | 0.0 | | | |
| TK2 4 | 14 27 D | ໍ່ຂ | 169 | | sp. 0 | 03 0 | | | | TK2 | 7 | 4 20 | D D | e | 18° | | SD. | 0.0 | 14 D | | |
| ATK + | 0.94 |) @ | 169 | | 00.0 | 00 0 | | | | | + | 0.83 | D | @ | 18° | | 00. | 0.0 | | | |
| TSE 4 | 13.77 D |) | ATK | +0 | 98 D | 0 | 166 | | | TSE | 4 | 3.87 | D | 3 | ATK | +0 | 90 | D | 0 | 19° | |
| TSE: 4 | 13.79 D |) | ΔTK | +1 | .05 D | <i>a</i> | 169 | | | TSE | 4 | 3.87 | D | | ΔTK | +0 | 73 | Ď | õ | 18° | |
| TSE: 4 | 13.84 D |) | ΔTK | +0 | .82 D | ĕ | 173 | | | TSE | 4 | 3.87 | D | | ΔΤΚ | +0 | .88 | D | õ | 17° | |
| | | | | | | | N | /hite- | to-white | and pupi | l va | lues | | | | | | | | | |
| WTW: | 12.0 n | ım | b | +0 | .5 mm | | ly: +0 | .1 m | m | WTW: | | 11.9 | mm | | lx | -0. | 6 n | ım | h | : +0. | 2 mm |
| P: | 5.2 n | nm | С | W-ch | ord: (| .5 m | m @ | 172 | 0 | P | | 3.9 | mm | | C | N-ch | ord: | 0. | 3 mn | n @ 3 | 337° |
| Inc. and a | atorod | | | | | | | | Deferer | ce image | | | | | | | | | 1 | m 0.0 | a eterod |

Pentacam





OCT Macula



Diagnosis/ Treatment Plan



Case Outcome

| 1 MTH PO | | OD | | | OS | |
|--------------|-------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| UCVA: | DVA: 20/20 | IVA: 20/25 @ 32" | NVA: J1 @ 14" | DVA: 20/25-2 | IVA: 20/20 @ 32" | NVA: J1 @ 14" |
| UCVA OU: | | DVA: 20/20-2 | IVA: 20/2 | 20 @ 32" I | NVA: J1+@1 | 4" |
| MRX: | Plano sph | VA : 20/20 | | · 0.25 + 0.50 |) x 012 VA | : 20/20+1 |
| IOP: | | 13 | | | 11 | |
| IOL USED: | DR | N00V +21.D, LRI | @ 349 | DRNC | 00V +21.D, LRI @ | 210 |
| SLE: | | WNL | | | WNL | |
| DFE: | | WNL | | | WNL | |

Patient states vision is doing well and he can see without needing glasses for any distance. Halos and glare has decreased with little glare issues since surgery. Colors are very vibrant now!

TECNIS Odyssey[™] all-new smooth diffractive design

TECNIS Synergy™

TECNIS Odyssey™



Distance visual acuity

Binocular UCDVA¹

- Mean visual acuity 20/20
- 81.2% 20/20 or better
- 92.8% 20/25 or better

Binocular BCDVA¹

- Mean visual acuity 20/20
- 92.5% 20/20 or better





Retrospective analysis of reported outcomes: Binocular UCDVA n=69; Binocular BCDVA n=53





Over 96% of TECNIS Odyssey™ IOL patients did not require spectacles at any distance.¹

*Q: "Was the patient prescribed glasses at the conclusion of the 1-month visit?" Three subjects 3/83 (1 subject for distance, 1 subject for near, and 1 subject for both distance and near)

Dysphotopsias



Retrospective analysis of reported outcomes, m98: Three subjects reported severe halo (m-3/00) is both eyes. Twe subjects reported severe hight glans (m-3/06) is both eyes For subjects who reported a symptom but did not specify a severity in the chart (8.3%), data were classified as mild in the graph above.



Patient Case

- 58 y/o male S/P myopic LASIK OU with cataracts
- Pre Op:
 - OD: Dsc: 20/30 Nsc: J10
 - OS: Dsc: 20/30 Nsc: J6
 - MRX OD: -0.50 + 1.00 X 170
 - MRX OS: -0.75 + 0.25 X 180







Post IOL implantation process

> Light from the RxSight LDD is directed by the surgeon to the Light Adjustable Lens

Macromers in the path of the light are photopolymerized

Unpolynerized macromers move irto the exposed area, causing precise shape and jower change

As the LAL is postoperatively adjusted to deliver customized vision, there are two major differences in the period after cataract surgery

The outcome is a precise change in the lens power to match the patient's individual prescription

The entire lens is exposed to light to polymeize all the remaining macomers



The RxSight Light Adjustable Lens (LAL) is the world's first adjustable intraocular lens (IOL) that allows office-based optimization of vision after lens implantation and healing

- The LAL delivers excellent outcomes for cataract patients
- Overcomes limitations of both pre-operative and intra-operative prediction processes
- Drives blended vision process without glare and halos
- Niche- post-refractive

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Corrects in 0.25D increments of sphere} \\ \text{and cylinder} \end{array}$

Corrects down to 0.5 diopters of astigmatism

| More Patients with Excellent Results | Comparison IOL | Commercial Data LAL ⁴ (n=121) *Distance Eyes | |
|--|-------------------------------|--|----|
| Percent eyes within 0.50 diopter of sphere | 74 % ¹ | 93.4% | |
| Percent eyes within 0.50 diopter of cylinder | 62-64 % ^{2,3} | 90.6% | 2x |
| Percent of eyes 20/20 or better | 38-4 1% ^{2,3} | 80.2% | |

LAL Patients 2x More Likely to See 20/20

dstrom M. Changing Practice Patterns in EuropeJ Cataract Refract Sur mis8 Toric PMA P980040/S039 FDA Summary of Safety and Effective

SUMMARY

Refractive Outcomes

- + 93.4% within 0.5 D MRSE
- 90.6% within 0.5 D Cylinder
- 2x More LAL Patients See 20/20 UCVA
- 10x Reduced Poor Outcomes (20/40 or worse)

Dysphotopsia profile

- Due to minimized residual refractive error
- · No increased glare or halo, no loss of contrast

Intermediate/Reading

- Patients select preferred trade-off between distance, intermediate, and near visual acuity
- Symmetrically broadened defocus curve compared to traditional monofocal IOLs
- 80% 20/20 (distance) and J1 (near) possible

Patient Case Outcome

- LAL both eyes implanted
- Post Op: Very happy patient
- OD: Dsc: 20/20 Int: 20/30 Nsc: J5
- OS: Dsc: 20/30 Int: 20/20 Nsc: J2

LAL Outcomes in Post -Refractive Eyes

Number of Eyes

- Total: 154
- S/P RK: 56
- Myopic LASIK/PRK: 66 eyes
- Hyperopic LASIK/PRK: 32 eyes





Astigmatism with LAL IOL in Eyes with RK (56 eyes)







Astigmatism with LAL IOL in Eyes with Myopic LASIK/PRK (66







Astigmatism with LAL IOL in Eyes with Hyperopic LASIK/PRK (32 eyes)



Patient Case

- 53 year old male with chief complaint of blurry vision in the right eye
- Pre Op:
 - OD: Dsc: 20/80 Nsc: J1
 - OS: Dsc: 20/25 Nsc: J1+
 - MRX OD: -1.75 + 2.75 x 171 20/40
 - MRX OS: -1.50 + 1.25 x 005 20/20

Patient Exam

| PACHYMETRY | 510 | 515 |
|---------------------|--|---------------------|
| PUPILS | No APD | No APD |
| C/S | White & Quiet | White & Quiet |
| CORNEA | LASIK Flap, Semi-circular mid stromal scar (paracentral-inferior) | LASIK Flap |
| ANTERIOR CHAMBER | Normal Depth, Quiet | Normal Depth, Quiet |
| LENS | 1+ NS, Diffuse Cortical Changes | 1+ NS |
| FUNDUS | WNL | WNL |













 Appthera

 Image Aperture Equivalent to a monofocal IOL with a 4.0 mm pupil
 Small Aperture ".1.36mm

 3.0 D of functional range of vision

 1.000
 -1.000
 -2.000
 -2.000
 -3.000

 Apthera
 0.100
 -1.000
 -1.000
 -2.000
 -2.000
 -2.000
 -2.000
 -2.000
 -2.000
 -2.000
 -2.000
 -2.000
 -2.000
 -2.000
 -2.000
 -2.000
 -2.000
 -2.000
 -2.000
 -2.000
 -2.000
 -2.000
 -2.000
 -2.000
 -2.000
 -2.000
 -2.000
 -2.000
 -2.000
 -2.000
 -2.000
 -2.000
 -2.000
 -2.000
 -2.000
 -2.000
 -2.000
 -2.000
 -2.000
 -2.000
 -2.000
 -2.000

 <th colspan="2"-

Wavefront-Filtering technology

The IC-8 Apthera IOL is the first small aperture lens that delivers extended depth of focus through its distinctive wavefront-filtering design.

EXTENDED DEPTH OF FOCUS, free from "blurry zones"

EXCELLENT RESULTS REGARDLESS OF ASTIGMATISM in eyes with as much as 1.5 D of corneal astigmatism

MONOFOCAL-LIKE BINOCULAR CONTRAST SENSITIVITY in bright and low light conditions

BAUSCH+LOMB



0

Patient Post op

- Apthera implanted in OD
- 1 Week Post Op: Very happy patient
- OD: Dsc: 20/25 Nsc: J1

Patient Case

- 66 year old female with chief complaint of increasingly blurry vision
- Pre Op:
 - OD: Dsc: 20/200; Dcc: 20/70
 - OS: Dsc: 20/400; Dcc: 20/80
 - With contact lenses; no improvement in refraction

| PACHYMETRY | 511 | 519 |
|---------------------|-----------------------|---|
| IOP | 15 | 15 |
| PUPILS | No APD | No APD |
| C/S | White & Quiet | White & Quiet |
| CORNEA | LASIK Flap, 8 Radials | LASIK Flap,16 Radials with nasal T cuts |
| ANTERIOR CHAMBER | Normal Depth, Quiet | Normal Depth, Quiet |
| LENS | 2+ NS, 1+ Cortical | 2+ NS, 1+ Cortical |
| FUNDUS | WNL | WNL |











Post-Op

- Apthera implanted in OU
- Post Op: happy patient
- OD: Dsc: 20/30
- OS: Dsc: 20/200
 - MRX OD: no improvement
 - MRX OS: -4.00 +6.00 x 075 20/80



Clinical Outcomes of the Small Aperture IOL for Complex Corneas with Irregular Astigmatism

Small Aperture IOL: Apthera/IC-8

- Corrects 1.5 astigmatism
- Non dominant -0.75D-1.00 aim
- 1.36mm aperture
- Pinhole test and pilo test
- Ray tracing simulation of small aperture (Itrace)
- May not correct all of the cylinder but will decrease HOA

Make sure they dilate to 6.5mm-7.0mm for Nd:YAG posterior capsulotomy



Methods

- Non-randomized, multicenter retrospective case series of 51 eyes (46 patients) who received a small aperture IOL in the setting of corneal disease.
- IOL Master 700 Barrett True K-RK/Post L/C and Barrett True K Toric (KCN) with a -0.75 to -1.00 D refractive target were used for planning of IOL power
- Primary outcomes: Mean and median absolute errors (AE) and % eyes within 0.50 D, 1.00 D, 1.50D of refractive target were analyzed. Lines of improvement of UCDVA, BCDVA, UCNVA and BCNVA and Refractive Prediction Error.
- Secondary outcome measures: symptomatic dimming requiring explanation, need for scleral lens or topography guided PRK



Results

| | 40 |
|-------------------|----------------------|
| Number of Eyes | 51 |
| Age, mean ± SD | 66.2 ± 7.8 years |
| Cyl, mean (range) | -1.65 (-5.5 to 0.76) |
| RK eyes | 16 |
| LASIK eyes | 14 |
| KCN eyes | 14 |
| Other | 7 |

Clinical Outcomes of the Small Aperture IOL for Complex Corneas with Irregular Astigmatism



Uncorrected Distance: 81% are 20/50 or better

Best Corrected Distance: 75% are 20/30 or better



Clinical Outcomes of the Small Aperture IOL for Complex Corneas with Irregular Astigmatism



Uncorrected Near: 77% are 20/40 or better

Clinical Outcomes of the Small Aperture IOL for Complex Corneas with Irregular Astigmatism



Clinical Outcomes of the Small Aperture IOL for Complex Corneas with Irregular Astigmatism

| | Uncorrected Near | Best Corrected Near | Uncorrected Distance | Best Corrected Distance |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|
| Baseline | 20/62 (0.49) | 20/39 (0.29) | 20/110 (0.74) | 20/46 (0.36) |
| POM1 | 20/36 (0.26) | 20/25 (0.1) | 20/44 (0.34) | 20/30 (0.17) |
| POM3 | 20/38 (0.28) | 20/25 (0.1) | 20/38 (0.28) | 20/29 (0.16) |
| Baseline- POM1 (lines improved) | 2.6 | 1.4 | 6.6 | 1.6 |
| Baseline- POM3 (lines improved) | 2.4 | 1.4 | 7.2 | 1.7 |
| Need for removal due to Dimming | 3 patients | | | 9 |

Visual Acuity/ Lines of Improvement

Clinical Outcomes of the Small Aperture IOL for Complex Corneas with Irregular Astigmatism

Conclusions

- UCDVA improved by 7.2 lines and UCNVA improved by 2.4 at 3 months
- The off-label use of the small aperture IOL appears to be safe and effective in patients with corneal pathology (and without central scarring).
- Counsel patients regarding the possibility of dimming
- This technology may offer more hope for contact lens independence and better spectacle correction in these complex eyes.



65 yold with Restor OU

| Vacc | НМ | 20/40 |
|------------------------|--|----------------------------|
| VA w/ MRX | +10.25 sph 20/20 | +0.25 +0.75 x 178 20/20 |
| IOP (central, tonopen) | 23 | 23 |
| Pupils | no apd | No apd |
| C/S | White and quiet | White and quiet |
| Cornea | Clear | Clear |
| Anterior chamber | Vitreous Prolapse | Normal, quiet |
| Iris | WNL | WNL |
| Lens | Sunset IOL shifted inferiorly, large anterior capsular opening | Centered PC IOL, PC Intact |
| Vitreous | WNL | WNL |
| Fundus exam | WNL | WNL |



| Date: 12/22/202 Surneon: ZAM PCH | G124 Tech | Surgeon | Date: 12/22/2022 | |
|--|---------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|---|
| Befraction: | Vertex: 12.00 | Befraction: | Vertex | |
| AL (Ontical): 22.81 | A4 A1 | AL (Ontical): | Adi Al | |
| BCVA: | Her Mill Mr 11 60 | BC\/A- | Her Wet-W | |
| LICVA: | Phakis ACD: | UCVA- | Phakic ACD: | |
| Stil K1: 45 95 @1 | Phakic Lens Th | 00070 | Phakic Lens Th | |
| Std K2: 46 50 @1 | E Target SEO Ref: 0 75 | | Target SEO Ref | |
| Astion : +0.55 @ 1 | D6 Tot Add: | Astigm : | Tat Add | |
| StdAvg K: 46.23 A | ternate K: n:1.3375 | | Alternate K | |
| | Addit | ional Data | | - |
| Eye Status: Aphakic | PreOp Pathology: No | Eye Status: Phakic | PreOp Pathology: No | |
| New PC Lens: in bag | Prev. Rk: No | New PC Lens: in bag | Prev. Rk : No | |
| | Keratoconus: No | | Keratogonus: No | |
| | Scleral Buckle: No | | Scieral Buckle: No | |
| | Silicone in Vitreous Cavity: No | | Silicone in Vitreous Cavity: No | |
| Formula: H | olladay II | Formula: | | |
| Rx Sight/Calhoun Vision | J&J/AMO/Pharmacia/Allrg | | | |
| 60005 | DIUX | | | |
| MFG ACD(Opt): 5.20 | MFG ACD(Opt): 5.72 | | | |
| IOL SEQ SEQ F | ef. IOL SEQ SEQ Ref. | | | |
| 20.00 - 0.11 | 21.50 - 0.36 | | | |
| 20.50 - 0.45 | 22.00 - 0.69 | | | |
| 20.94 - 0.75 | 22.10 - 0.75 | | | |
| 21.00 - 0.79 | 22.50 - 1.01 | | | |
| 21.50 - 1.13 | 23.00 - 1.35 | | | |
| J&J/AMO/Pharmacia/Alle | J&J/AMO/Pharmacia/Alirg | | | |
| DIBOO | Tecnis 1-Piece DC800 | | | |
| MFG ACD(Opt): 5.72 | MFG* ACD(Opt): 5.63 | | | |
| IOL SEQ SEQ F | ef. IOL SEQ SEQ Ref. | | | |
| 21.50 - 0.36 | 21.00 - 0.17 | | | |
| 22.00 - 0.69 | 21.50 - 0.49 | | | |
| 22.10 - 0.75 | 21.89 - 0.75 | | | |
| 22.50 - 1.01 | 22.00 - 0.82 | | | |
| 23.00 - 1.35 | 22.50 - 1.15 | | | |
| contrast on another transmission for | IOL Con | suitant Notes | | |
| (*) MFG Optical Lens constant generate | d by adding 0.2mm to U/S ACD | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | Surgeon/Te | echnician Notes | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| HicSoapPro Ver 2022.0805 | WHITSETT VISION | GROUP (Reg:16726055) | Page 1 of | 1 |
| | | | | |

| PROCEDURES Exchange of intraocular lens (66986) | | | |
|---|---------------------------|---|---|
| Right eye | | | |
| PROCEDURE DETAILS Procedure Subtype: Special Needs: | N/A. None | SURGERY DAY Date of surgery: Surgical Facility: Surgeon: Anesthesia: | 12/27/2022 Park Ten Surgical Center Zaina Al-Mohtaseb Topical |
| ASSOCIATED DIAGNOSES | | | |
| CONCERNS Diabetes: Keratoconus: Prior Refractive Surgery: Medication concerns: Allery concerns: Other concerns: | No Non None None | COMMENT NA | |
| IOL Model: | RxSight LAL | PRE-OP DATA | |
| CL Power: | +21.00 D | Pre-op refraction: Anterior keratometry (OLMaster 700): Posterior keratometry (OLMaster 700): 2555 TK (OLMaster 700): Net asignatism (D): Average anterior corneal power: Axial length: IOL power estimation formula used: Toric formula used: TARGET | 413.25 + 1.12 x 024" 0.55 @ 105" 0.42 @ 000" 0.32 @ 125" 0.41 @ 145" 466.22 D 22.81 nm Barrett Universal II Barrett Toric |
| | | Target range: Target refraction: | -0.75 D |
| | | PREDICTED OUTCOME Predicted SE: | -0.74 D |

LAL Yamane Exchange



LAL Yamane Exchange



J Cataract Refract Surg. 2020 Dec 9. doi: 10.1097/j.jcrs.000000000000540. Online ahead of print.

Accuracy of Intraocular Lens Calculation Formulas for Flanged Intrascleral Intraocular Lens Fixation with Double-Needle Technique

Jake McMillin ¹, Li Wang, Margaret Y Wang, Zaina Al-Mohtaseb, Sumitra Khandelwal, Mitchell Weikert, M Bowes Hamill

Affiliations + expand

PMID: 33315743 DOI: 10.1097/j.jcrs.000000000000540

Abstract

Purpose: To evaluate the refractive prediction error of intraocular lens calculation formulas in eyes that have undergone the Yamane technique for scleral fixation of intraocular lenses (IOL).

Setting: Alkek Eye Center, Cullen Eye Institute, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX DESIGN:: Retrospective case series from electronic chart review.

Methods: Patients who had undergone scleral fixation of secondary IOLs were selected. The IOL refractive prediction errors (RPE) for 4 IOL prediction formulas - Barrett Universal II, Holidady 1, Hoffer-Q, and SRK/T - were obtained by subtracting the predicted spherical equivalent from postoperative spherical equivalent. The arithmetic mean RPE, mean absolute error (MAE), and percentages of eyes with prediction error of \$0.5 D and \$1.0 D were calculated and compared.

Results: 40 eyes of the 40 patients met inclusion criteria. All formulas produced hyperopic mean arithmetic RPE. MAE values were 0.73 D for Holladay 1, 0.76 D for Barrett, 0.80 D for SRK/T, and 0.86 D for Hoffer Q. The percentage of eyes with prediction error of 3.05 and 3.10 D with these formulas were: 45% (18 eyes) and 75% (30 eyes) for Holladay 1, 38.5% (15 eyes) and 77% (30 eyes) for Barrett, 32.5% (13 eyes) and 67.5% (27 eyes) for SRK/T, and 2.75% (11 eyes) and 62.5% (25 eyes) with Hoffer-Q. There were no significant differences in prediction errors between the 4 formulas.

Conclusion: Refractive outcomes of the Yamane technique are less predictable than standard cataract surgery. Arithmetic RPE is hyperopic to predicted for all formulas tested. Thank You What Questions Do you Have? zaina1225@gmail.com